Tracing Cosmic-Ray Heating: An ALCHEMI Measurement of HCN and HNC in NGC 253

Erica Behrens

PhD Candidate, University of Virginia

<u>eb7he@virginia.edu</u>

With: Jeff Mangum (NRAO), Serena Viti (Leiden, UCL), Jon Holdship (Leiden, UCL), and the ALCHEMI collaboration

Cosmic Rays II: The Salt of the Star Formation Recipe





Tracing Interstellar Heating: An ALCHEMI Measurement of the HCN Isomers in NGC 253

ERICA BEHRENS (D,^{1,*} JEFFREY G. MANGUM (D,² JONATHAN HOLDSHIP (D,^{3,4} SERENA VITI (D,^{3,4} NANASE HARADA (D,^{5,6} SERGIO MARTÍN (D,^{7,8} KAZUSHI SAKAMOTO (D,⁹ SEBASTIEN MULLER (D,¹⁰ KUNIHIKO TANAKA (D,¹¹ KOUICHIRO NAKANISHI (D,^{5,6} RUBÉN HERRERO-ILLANA (D,^{7,12} YUKI YOSHIMURA,¹³ REBECA ALADRO (D,¹⁴ LAURA COLZI (D,¹⁵ KIMBERLY L. EMIG (D,^{2,†} CHRISTIAN HENKEL (D,^{14,16} KO-YUN HUANG (D,³ P. K. HUMIRE (D,¹⁴ DAVID S. MEIER (D)^{17,18} AND VÍCTOR M. RIVILLA (D)¹⁵

et al.

(ALMA COMPREHENSIVE HIGH-RESOLUTION EXTRAGALACTIC MOLECULAR INVENTORY (ALCHEMI) COLLABORATION)

ABSTRACT

We analyze HCN and HNC emission in the nearby starburst galaxy NGC 253 to investigate its effectiveness in tracing heating processes associated with star formation. This study uses multiple HCN and HNC rotational transitions observed using ALMA via the ALCHEMI Large Program. To understand the conditions and associated heating mechanisms within NGC 253's dense gas, we employ Bayesian nested sampling techniques applied to chemical and radiative transfer models which are constrained using our HCN and HNC measurements. We find that the volume density $n_{\rm H_2}$ and cosmic ray ionization rate (CRIR) ζ are enhanced by about an order of magnitude in the galaxy's central regions as compared to those further from the nucleus. In NGC 253's central GMCs, where observed HCN/HNC abundance ratios are lowest, $n \sim 10^{5.5}$ cm⁻³ and $\zeta \sim 10^{-12}$ s⁻¹ (greater than 10^4 times the average Galactic rate). We find a positive correlation in the association of both density and CRIR with the number of star formation-related heating sources (supernova remnants, HII regions, and super hot cores) located in each GMC, as well as a correlation between CRIRs and supernova rates. Additionally, we see an anticorrelation between the HCN/HNC ratio and CRIR, indicating that this ratio will be lower in regions where ζ is higher. Though previous studies suggested HCN and HNC may reveal strong mechanical heating processes in NGC 253's CMZ, we find cosmic ray heating dominates the heating budget, and mechanical heating does not play a significant role in the HCN and HNC chemistry.

Check out the paper on arxiv!



Behrens et al., accepted to ApJ



GMCs from Leroy et al. (2015)



GMCs from Leroy et al. (2015)



GMCs from Leroy et al. (2015)



GMCs from Leroy et al. (2015)

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

Mechanical Heating?

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

- Mechanical Heating?
- Cosmic-Ray Heating?

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

- Mechanical Heating?
- Cosmic-Ray Heating?

How can we differentiate their heating contributions?

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

- Mechanical Heating?
- Cosmic-Ray Heating?

How can we differentiate their heating contributions?

Use observations of molecular emission to constrain chemical + radiative transfer models

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

- Mechanical Heating?
- Cosmic-Ray Heating?

How can we differentiate their heating contributions?

Use observations of molecular emission to constrain chemical + radiative transfer models

Observations: HCN and HNC 1-0, 2-1, 3-2, and 4-3 rotational transitions

What processes are causing the kinetic temp to rise-and what is the source of this heating?

- Mechanical Heating?
- Cosmic-Ray Heating?

How can we differentiate their heating contributions?

Use observations of molecular emission to constrain chemical + radiative transfer models

Observations: HCN and HNC 1–0, 2–1, 3–2, and 4–3 rotational transitions

Chemical model: UCLCHEM, a two-phase gas-grain chemical modeling code

Radiative Transfer Model: RADEX (via SpectralRadex)

Table 3. Prior Distributions

	Parameter	Range	Distribution Type
T	Temperature	50–300 K	Uniform
n	Volume Density	$10^3 - 10^7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$	Log-uniform
ζ	Cosmic Ray Ionization Rate	10–107 $\zeta_0{}^a$	Log-uniform
$N_{\rm H_2}$	H ₂ Column Density	10^{22} – 10^{25} cm ⁻²	Log-uniform

 $^{a}\zeta_{0} = 1.36 \times 10^{-17} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Table 3. Prior Distributions

	Parameter	Range	Distribution Type
T	Temperature	$50-300 \mathrm{~K}$	Uniform
n	Volume Density	$10^3 - 10^7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$	Log-uniform
ζ	Cosmic Ray Ionization Rate	$10\!\!-\!\!10^7\;{\zeta_0}^{a}$	Log-uniform
$N_{\mathrm{H_2}}$	H ₂ Column Density	10^{22} – $10^{25} {\rm cm}^{-2}$	Log-uniform

 $a_{\zeta_0} = 1.36 \times 10^{-17} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Table 3. Prior Distributions

	Parameter	Range	Distribution Type
T	Temperature	50300 K	Uniform
n	Volume Density	$10^3 - 10^7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$	Log-uniform
ζ	Cosmic Ray Ionization Rate	$10\!\!-\!\!10^7\;{\zeta_0}^{a}$	Log-uniform
$N_{\rm H_2}$	H ₂ Column Density	10^{22} -10 ²⁵ cm ⁻²	Log-uniform

^a
$$\zeta_0 = 1.36 \times 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

 $\zeta: 10^{-16} - 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$



 $a_{\zeta_0} = 1.36 \times 10^{-17} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Cosmic Rays II: The Salt of the Star Formation Recipe



 $^{a}\zeta_{0} = 1.36 \times 10^{-17} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Cosmic Rays II: The Salt of the Star Formation Recipe



 $a_{\zeta_0} = 1.36 \times 10^{-17} \, \mathrm{s}^{-1}$

Cosmic Rays II: The Salt of the Star Formation Recipe



Cosmic Rays II: The Salt of the Star Formation Recipe









Correlation between CRIR/density and # of heating sources: $\rho \sim 0.67/0.60$





From PDR modeling: predicted CRIR + density values yield gas temps of 200-400 K



From PDR modeling: predicted CRIR + density values yield gas temps of 200-400 K

→ equal to (or higher!) than temps measured in Mangum et al. (2019)



From PDR modeling: predicted CRIR + density values yield gas temps of 200-400 K

→ equal to (or higher!) than temps measured in Mangum et al. (2019)

Cosmic rays ALONE can raise kinetic temperatures to measured values



From PDR modeling: predicted CRIR + density values yield gas temps of 200-400 K

→ equal to (or higher!) than temps measured in Mangum et al. (2019)

Cosmic rays ALONE can raise kinetic temperatures to measured values

So... what about mechanical heating?



Primary Takeaways

Density and CRIR estimated to be ${\sim}10^4{-}10^5$ cm^-3 and ${\sim}10^{-13}{-}10^{-12}$ s^-1 with order-of-magnitude enhancement in central CMZ



See arXiv for details on modeling

eb7he@virginia.edu | erica-behrens.com

Primary Takeaways

Density and CRIR estimated to be ${\sim}10^4{-}10^5$ cm^-3 and ${\sim}10^{-13}{-}10^{-12}$ s^-1 with order-of-magnitude enhancement in central CMZ

Cosmic ray heating alone can explain high kinetic temperatures without requiring additional mechanical heating (though there probably is some...)



See arXiv for details on modeling

eb7he@virginia.edu | erica-behrens.com