### **Gas Ionization and Magnetic Field Coupling in B335 CEA-ICE**

Victoria Cabedo (ICS, HWU) A. Maury, J.M. Girart, M. Padovani, P. Hennebelle, M. Houde & Q. Zhang November 10<sup>th</sup>, 2022



### **CR** in the low-mass star formation process

- Why CRs?  $\rightarrow$  Ionization degree
  - Chemistry (ion chemistry, ice chemistry, metal catalysis...)
  - Magnetic field coupling (magnetic braking catastrophe)

### **CR** in the low-mass star formation process

- Why CRs?  $\rightarrow$  Ionization degree
  - Chemistry (ion chemistry, ice chemistry, metal catalysis...)
  - Magnetic field coupling (magnetic braking catastrophe)
- Where do they come from?
  - Galactic CRs
  - Local CRs



### **CR** in the low-mass star formation process

- Why CRs?  $\rightarrow$  Ionization degree
  - Chemistry (ion chemistry, ice chemistry, metal catalysis...)
  - Magnetic field coupling (magnetic braking catastrophe)
- Where do they come from?
  - Galactic CRs
  - Local CRs
- How do we measure it?
  - <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243813</u>

### The method

Characterize the level of ionization at small envelope radii

- We followed the method by Caselli+1998:
  - The deuteration fraction,  $R_D$ , is related to the ionization fraction,  $\chi_e$ , by (*Wootten*+1979, *Caselli*+1998):

$$\chi_e = \frac{2.7 \times 10^{-8}}{R_D} - \frac{1.2 \times 10^{-6}}{f_D}$$

>And the CR ionization rate is:

$$\zeta = \left[7.5x10^{-4}\chi_e + \frac{4.6x10^{-10}}{f_D}\right]\chi_e n_{H2}R_H$$

#### (Maury+, 2018)

# Introduction

### **The Class 0 Protostar B335**

- Isolated Bok globule with a Class 0 protostar at 164.5 pc (*Keen, 1983; Watson, 2020*)
  - Harbours a hot corino (Imai+, 2016)
  - W-E CO Outflow (*Hirano+*, 1988&1992)
  - Non-symmetric motions and possible preferential accretion (*Cabedo+*, 2021b)
- Magnetically regulated collapse
  - No disk of more than 10 AU (Yen+, 2015)
  - Polarised dust emission shows organised magnetic field (*Maury*+, 2018)





### **ALMA Observations** Molecular lines

- High angular resolutions observations of molecular lines on B335.
  - Angular resolution = 0.8 2.6 arcsec
  - Spectral resolution  $\approx 0.2$  km/s.
- Beam matching maps

	DCO+	H <sup>13</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>	<sup>12</sup> CO	$N_2D^+$	$H^{13}CO^+$	C <sup>17</sup> O**	cont.
	(J=3-2)	(J=3-2)	(J=2-1)	(J=3-2)	(J=1-0)	(J=1-0)	
Rest. Freq. (GHz)	216.112	260.255	230.538	231.321	86.754	112.359	110
$\Theta_{LRS}^*$ (arcsec)	11.3	16.0	10.6	10.6			22.3
Pixel size (arcsec)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.3
$\Theta_{mai}$ (arcsec)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.6	2.6	0.8
$\Theta_{\min}$ (arcsec)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.6	2.6	0.7
P.A. (°)	0	0	0	0	0	0	-61.5
Spectral res. $(\text{km s}^{-1})$	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.15	0.15	-
rms (mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> )	22.37	53.15	143.5	6.00	18.58	10.57	0.065
vel. range (km $s^{-1}$ )	7.8 - 8.9	7.5 - 8.9	7.6 - 9.4	7.7 - 8.9	7.4-9.2	4.7-6.5	-
						7.7-9.3	
rms (mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> km s <sup>-1</sup> )	11.17	21.28	634.6	5.23	17.17	17.58	-

\* Largest recoverable scale, computed as  $\Theta_{LRS} = 206265(0.6\lambda/b_{min})$  in arcsec, where  $\lambda$  is the rest wavelength of the line, and  $b_{min}$  is the minimum baseline of the configuration, both in m (Asayama et al. 2016).

\*\* The two velocity ranges correspond to the two resolved hyperfine components.

DCO<sup>+</sup> (3-2)

## **ALMA Observations** Molecular lines

- High angular resolutions observation
  - Angular resolution = 0.8 2.6 arcsec
  - Spectral resolution  $\approx 0.2$  km/s.
- Beam matching maps
- Spectral maps



~ 900 au

## **ALMA Observations** Molecular lines

- High angular resolutions observ
  - Angular resolution = 0.8 2.6 arc
  - Spectral resolution  $\approx 0.2$  km/s.
- Beam matching maps
- Spectral maps
- Integrated intensity maps



 $H^{13}CO^+(3-2)$ 

**Red-shifted comp.** 

500 au

**Blue-shifted comp.** 

7°34′20″

15"

10"

05"

### **ALMA Observations Molecular lines**

- High angular resolutions observ
  - Angular resolution = 0.8 2.6 arcs
  - Spectral resolution  $\approx 0.2$  km/s.
- Beam matching maps
- Spectral maps
- Integrated intensity maps
- Molecular line profiles modelling
  - *Hfs* fitting (*Estalella*+2017)
  - 2 velocity components
  - Peak velocity, velocity dispersion and intensity maps



-9.08.8 8.6  $v_{peak}(km/s)$ 8.4 8.2 8.0 -7.8 -0.500.450.400.35 $\sigma(km/s)$ 0.30

-0.25

-0.20

-0.15

0.10

## **Deuteration Fraction** $R_D$

- $R_D$  is the column density ratio, accounting for the abundance ratio of <sup>12</sup>C to <sup>13</sup>C ( $f_{12/13C} = 43$ ):  $R_D = \frac{1}{f_{12/13C}} \frac{\chi (DCO^+)}{\chi (H^{13}CO^+)}$
- $R_D$  ranges from ~0.25 % to ~2 %



Deuteration fraction (in %), superimposed with dust cont. emission @110 GHz, for emission at -2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 30 and 50σ

## **Deuteration Fraction** *R<sub>D</sub>*

- $R_D$  is the column density ratio, accounting for the abundance ratio of <sup>12</sup>C to <sup>13</sup>C ( $f_{12/13C} = 43$ ):  $R_D = \frac{1}{f_{12/13C}} \frac{\chi (DCO^+)}{\chi (H^{13}CO^+)}$
- $R_D$  ranges from ~0.25 % to ~2 %
- Deuteration decreases towards small scales (0.25% to 3%, *Butner+*, 1995)

# $\rightarrow$ Local destruction of deuterated molecules



Deuteration fraction (in %), superimposed with N2D+ (3-2) emission, for -3, 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20σ

### **Ionization Processes** Depletion Factor, $f_D$

•  $f_D$  is the 'expected' CO abundance vs. the 'observed' CO column density, computed as:

$$f_D = \frac{N_{H2} X_{CO}}{N_{C170} f_{C170}}$$

- High depletion values (20-70), highly asymmetric and increasing towards the centre
- High depletion regions coincide with low deuteration regions



-30



### **Ionization Processes** Depletion Factor, $f_D$

• *f<sub>D</sub>* is the 'expected' CO abundance vs. the 'observed' CO column density, computed as:

$$f_D = \frac{N_{H2} X_{CO}}{N_{C170} f_{C170}}$$

- High depletion values (20-70), highly asymmetric and increasing towards the centre
- High depletion regions coincide with low deuteration regions
- Not due to CO freeze-out, T ~ 20-30 K (*Walmsley+1987; Murphy+1998*):
  - CO conversion to  $CH_3OH$  and  $CH_4$  (*Aikawa+, 2012*)
  - CO photodissociation by local radiation processes and conversion to HCO<sup>+</sup> (*Visser*+, 2009)





### **Ionization Processes** Ionization Fraction, $\chi_e$

- Large ionization fraction,  $\chi_e = 2x10^{-6}$
- The ionization basically depends on the **level of deuteration**, not the depletion factor



V. Cabedo (ICS, HWU) - Cosmic Rays 2

### **Ionization Processes** CR Ionization Rate, ζ

- $\zeta$  mostly depends on  $\chi_e$
- $\zeta$  increases towards the centre, reaching values of  $7x10^{-14} s^{-14}$



CR ionization rate, superimposed with dust cont. emission @110 GHz, for emission at -2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 30 and  $50\sigma$ 



*CR* ionization rate, superimposed with dust cont. emission @ 110 GHz, for emission at -2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 30 and 50σ

• Not compatible with ionization → Local ionization processes?

## **Origin of the ionization** Local CR acceleration

• No far-UV or X-Ray radiation



## **Origin of the ionization** Local CR acceleration

- No far-UV or X-Ray radiation
- Local CR acceleration can have two origins:
  - **Strong magnetized shocks along the outflow** (*Padovani+*, 2015&2016; *Fitz Axen+*, 2021; *Padovani+*, 2021)
  - Accretion shocks near the protostellar surface (*Padovani+*, 2016; *Gaches+*, 2018)
- B335 hosts a powerful jet (*Galfalk+, 2007; Yen+, 2010*)
- B335 exhibits an organized magnetic field at small scales (*Maury+*, 2018)

## **Origin of the ionization** Ionization trend

- We measure  $\zeta(\bar{r}, \vartheta)$ , where  $\bar{r}$  is the  $\zeta$  average at different radii, for the different angles,  $0 \le \vartheta \le \pi$
- Two power-law profiles  $\zeta \propto r^s$ :
  - Inner envelope (< 270 AU) s = -0.96Compatible with CR diffusive regime (s = -1)
  - Outer envelope (> 270 AU) s = -3.77

CRs are thermalized? Symmetry is lost?



# **Caveats of the method**

### Is this correct?

- The bad:
  - Uncertainties are large:
    - Uncertainties from the data
    - Uncertainties in the parameters:  $T_{dust}$ ,  $T_{ex}$ , dust opacity...
  - Is there chemical equilibrium?
- The good:
  - Relation between  $\zeta$  and  $R_D$  is better if ionization is high *(Shingledecker+,2016; Bron+,2021)*
  - $H_3^+$  methods underestimate  $\zeta$ , except where CR ionization dominates (*Gaches+*, 2019)
  - Models including protostellar sources predict such CRIR (*Gaches+,2018; Gaches+,2019*)
  - Corrections are important at  $R_D > 10\%$  (*Bovino+*, 2020)

### **The implications** Ionization and B field coupling

- The ionization fraction of the gas determines:
  - The coupling between the gas and the B field
  - The role of diffusive processes, such as ambipolar diffusion
- The large ionization in B335 should lead to an almost perfect coupling, producing strong magnetic braking
  - This supports previous observations on B335 (Yen+, 2015; Maury+, 2018)
- Change from non-ideal MHD to ideal MHD conditions during the first stages of protostellar formation
  - Disk properties might be determined by local ionization conditions (Kuffmeier+, 2020)

# Conclusions

- Derivation of  $\chi_e$  and  $\zeta$  maps at small scales (< 1000 AU) in the Class 0 protostar B335
  - Values of  $\chi_e$  are larger than typically measured in protostars ( $\chi_e = 1 \sim 7x10^{-6}$ )
  - Maps suggest very high values of ζ and increasing towards the centre
    →Local production of CRs
  - Efficient coupling between the gas and the B field leading towards a an important magnetic braking
- More observations at larger angular resolution and of a larger sample of protostars are needed to confirm the results:

# Astrocatalysis @ ICS, HWU

### *In Operando* Studies of Catalysis and Photocatalysis of Space Abundant Transition Metals

• 4 years project: HWU (Edinburgh) + UAB (Barcelona) + FHI (Berlin)

### **Our 'Astro Miller-Urrey' Experiment:**

- 1. Replicating interstellar dust metallic inclusions (*Cabedo+*, 2021a; <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039991</u>)
- 2. Thermal and photo-induced chemistry on catalytic systems at different astrophysical conditions during the SFP
  - Including S and P chemistry!!
- 3. Parallel chemical modelisation of the observed reactions

### Many thanks!

Other questions: v.cabedo@hw.ac.uk

V. Cabedo (ICS, HWU) - Cosmic Rays 2