Compaction, Quenching, and the Main Sequence of SFGs

Avishai Dekel The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

IGM@50 Spineto - June 2015

Barro+ 2013-15; Dekel & Burkert 2014; Zolotov+ 2015; Tacchella+ 2015a,b,c; Ceverino+ 2015; Tomassetti+ 2015







$z\sim 2$ M~10¹¹M_{\odot} R_e~1 kpc low-SFR the progenitors of the cores of today's Es?

Van Dokkum, Franx, Kriek, Bouwens, Labbe+ 08,10,14, Damjanov+09, Newman+10, Damjanov+11, Whitaker+12, Bruce+12, ...

Wet Compaction

Dekel & Burkert 2013

Compact stellar spheroid \rightarrow dissipative "wet" inflow to a "blue nugget"

Inflow is "wet" if inflow > SFR

In violent disk instability (VDI): torques drive AM out and mass in

Wetness parameter

$$w \equiv \frac{\text{inflow}}{\text{SFR}} \approx \varepsilon_{\text{sfr}}^{-1} f_{\text{cold}}^2 > 1$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm sfr} \leq 0.02$$
 $f_{\rm cold} \geq 0.2$

Expect compact nuggets: - at high z, where f_{gas} is high - for low spin λ , where initial R_{gas} is low

Cosmological Simulations

Run by Ceverino Code: AMR ART (Kravtsov, Klypin) 3x30 galaxies zoom-in Max resolution 25 pc SN and radiative feedback

Collaborators: Danovich, DeGraf, Inoue, Lapiner, Mandelker, Tacchella, Tomassetti, Tweed, Zolotov, Bournaud+, Burkert+, Krumholz+, Primack+, Carollo+, Faber+, Genzel+

Wet Origin of Bulge in Simulations

Zolotov+15



Fraction of bulge stars born in different components

~half the bulge stars formed in the bulge \rightarrow wet inflow

Observations: Blue Nuggets -> Red Nuggets

Barro+ 13 CANDELS z=1-3





Compaction and Quenching in Simulations



Compaction and quenching













Pre-BN: ouside-in quenching

Dense gas core -> dense stellar core

Post BN: gas depletion from core, gas ring may form, -> inside-out quenching

Stellar core remains dense from BN to RN

Blue Nugget - Red Nugget naked red nugget

10 z=1.3 z=3.5 z=3.: $\log(\Sigma)[M_{\odot} \, \mathrm{pc}^{-2}]$ -6 -2 0 6 8 10 -8 -6 10-10 -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6 8 10 -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6 8 10

A stellar envelope may gradually grow by dry mergers red nugget + envelope = elliptical





Tacchella+ 2015b

"line width" evolution in simulated galaxies



Stellar Red Nuggets z=2.3, edge-on

Ceverino+ 2015



Blue Nuggets: Disks of Young Stars

V12 at z=3.55



Trigger of Wet Compaction

What is the Trigger of wet Compaction?

- VDI-driven inflow (Dekel, Burkert 14)
- Mergers (major, minor) (Barnes, Hernquist 91; Hopkins+ 06)
- Tidal compression (Dekel+ 03; Renaud+ 14)
- Counter-rotating streams (Danovich+ 14, Nir+ 15)
- Triaxial halo core (Ceverino+15, Tomassetti+ 15)
- Return of recycled low-AM gas (Elmegreen+ 14)

What is the Trigger of wet Compaction?

- VDI-driven inflow (Dekel, Burkert 14)
- Mergers (major, minor) (Barnes, Hernquist 91; Hopkins+ 06)
- Tidal compression (Dekel+ 03; Renaud+ 14)
- Counter-rotating streams (Danovich+ 14; Nir+ 15)
- Triaxial halo core (Ceverino+ 15; Tomassetti+ 15)
- Return of recycled low-AM gas (Elmegreen+ 14)

Gas streams + mergers along the cosmic web

AMR RAMSES Teyssier, AD box 300 kpc res 50 pc z = 5 to 2.5

Hi-z galaxies are fed by intense streams, including minor and major mergers



How do the streams join the disk?



A messy interface region: breakup due to shocks, hydro and thermal instabilities, collisions between streams and clumps, heating

An Extended Tilted Ring about the Disk









Violent Disk Instability (VDI) at High z

Ceverino+ ART-AMR cosmological simulations at 25pc resolution

highly perturbed, clumpy rotating disk: $H/R \sim \sigma/V \sim f_{cold} \sim 0.2$



Clump Formation & Migration



Violent disk instability (VDI) and mergers (mostly minor) work in concert

VDI deviates from linear Toomre instability Q=2-5 -> nonlinear instability stimulated by in-streams with minor mergers (Inoue+)



Nonlinear instability - stimulated by intense inflows with minor mergers, or by the non-linear clumps themselves

What is the Trigger of wet Compaction?

- VDI-driven inflow (Dekel, Burkert 14)
- Mergers (major, minor) (Barnes, Hernquist 91; Hopkins+ 06)
- Tidal compression (Dekel+ 03; Renaud+ 14)
- Counter-rotating streams (Danovich+ 14; Nir+ 15)
- Triaxial halo core (Ceverino+ 15; Tomassetti+ 15)
- Return of recycled low-AM gas (Elmegreen+ 14)



Counter-rotating Streams

Danovich+15



The Quenching Mechanism(s)

Zolotov+ 2015, Tacchella+ 2015

The Quenching Mechanism

Wet compaction: inflow > SFR+outflow

High SFR and no gas supply to the center: inflow \langle SFR+outflow \rightarrow quenching attempt

- disk has shrunk \rightarrow no immediate gas supply to center
- massive bulge suppresses VDI-driven inflow (morphological quen.)
- V<100 km s⁻¹ shallow potential -> stellar, SN, AGN outflows

Long-term quenching?

Hesitatnt vs. Decisive Quenching

low mass

high mass



Halo Mass and Central Density at Quenching





Cold Streams in Big Galaxies at High z 1014 all hot cold filaments $\begin{array}{c} \textbf{M}_{\text{vir}} \\ [\textbf{M}_{\circ}] \end{array}$ in hot medium 1012 M_{shock}~M* M_{shock}>>M* M_{shock} all cold 1010 M* Dekel & 3 0 1 4 5 2 Birnboim 06 redshift z

The Quenching Mechanism

Wet compaction: inflow > SFR+outflow

High SFR and no gas supply to the center: inflow \langle SFR+outflow \rightarrow quenching attempt

- disk has shrunk \rightarrow no immediate gas supply to center

- massive bulge suppresses VDI-driven inflow (morphological quen.)
- V<100 km s⁻¹ shallow potential -> stellar, SN, AGN outflows

If halo is massive (hot) \rightarrow starvation of gas supply \rightarrow long-term quenching

If halo is less massive \rightarrow gas supply to a new disk \rightarrow new compaction and SFR ... until the halo is massive (hot)

Main Sequence

Zolotov+ 2015; Tacchella+ 2015c



The Universal Main Sequence of SFGs

MS ridge

$$sSFR_{MS} \approx 1.5 \, Gyr^{-1} M_{*10}^{0.14} (1+z)_{z=3}^{5/2}$$

Deviation from MS ridge

$$\Delta_{\rm MS} = \log\left(sSFR / sSFR_{\rm MS}\right)$$



Tacchella+ 2015c

sSFR in Simulations vs Observations

Robust: hard to match the high observed sSFR at z~2





Blue Nuggets: Tip of the Main Sequence







Full Quenching vs Quenching Attempt





Observed Gradients across the MS

Genzel, Tacconi+ 2015 z=0-2.5

 $t_{dep} = M_{gas} / SFR$



 $f_{gs}=M_{gas}/M_{stars}$





Depletion Time = gas mass/SFR

BN at top of MS: minimum depletion time





Summary: Evolution in the Main Sequence blue nugget comportion diffuse SFG star short t_{dep} quenching high f_{gas} forming compaction successful main sequence quenching sSFR long t_{dep} green nugget low f_{gas} $/(1+z)^{2.5}$ t_{replenish}<t_{deplete} z>3 M_{halo} < M_{shock} treplenish>tdeplete z<3 Mhalo > Mshock guenched red nugget At late times



Elongated Galaxies

Ceverino+ 2015, Tomassetti+ 2015



Evolution of Shape

Tomassetti+15



Pre-compaction: DM-dominated core, $M_* < 10^9 M_{\odot}$ V<100 km/s -> outflows --> prolate (triaxial) DM & stellar system, anisotropic dispersion

Post-compaction: baryonic core, $M^*>10^9 M_{\odot}$ V>100 km/s – no outflow --> oblate, rotation-dominated

Gas: triaxial --> disk

Conclusion: a generic sequence of events

High-z massive galaxies fed by cosmic-web streams + mergers -> gas-rich, dense disks - violent disk instability (VDI)

Wet compaction to Blue Nuggets: by streams + mergers + VDI (in lowspin galaxies) -> compact gas disks, high SFR, flattened stellar spheroids

Quenching inside-out to Red Nuggets: SFR(+AGN) + outflows > inflow -> central gas depletion. Then SFR in gas rings & stellar envelopes (ETGs)

Repeated compactions in low-mass halos at high z, when inflow resumes Long-term quenching in hot massive halo at low z, when $t_{replenish} > t_{depletion}$

Quenching downsizing: massive galaxies quench earlier, efficiently, at higher densities. Low-mass galaxies oscillate till inflow shutdown (halo)

Confinement of the Main Sequence and gradients across it due to the evolution through episodes of compaction and quenching

Shape evolution from prolate to oblate stellar system at compaction: transition from DM-dominated to baryon-dominated core , at V~100 km/s