Simulating the cosmic distribution of gas (HI & metals) and its connection with galaxies

Ali Rahmati

Centre for Theoretical Astrophysics and Cosmology, University of Zurich

& EAGLE team:

Joop Schaye, Richard Bower, Rob Crain, Tom Theuns Michelle Furlong, Matthieu Schaller



Abbazia di Spineto, June 2015

Evolution & Assembly of GaLaxies & their Environments

Schaye+15

z = 9.2 t = 0.5 Gyr L = 25.0 cMpc

Visualisation by Jim Geach & Rob Crain



HI distribution



Cosmic distribution of HI



Cosmic distribution of HI



Rahmati+15

Connection between HI absorbers and galaxies



Rahmati & Schaye 14

Predicted covering fraction of HI (LLSs) around galaxies



Rahmati+15

see also Faucher-Giguere+15 for the evolution but mind the difference at high masses

HI covering fraction around bright QSOs & LBGs

two bracketing models:

I- z = 2.2 + Haardt & Madau 01 UVB model

II- z = 3.0 (2.5 for LBGs) + Haardt & Madau I2 UVB model



Metals? constraining feedback?

HI

CIV











Cosmic distribution of high ionization metals



Physical properties of high ionization metals



The importance of efficient feedback: HI



The importance of efficient feedback: metals



Rahmati+ in prep

The importance of efficient feedback: metals



Rahmati+ in prep

Summary and Conclusions

EAGLE reproduces the cosmic distribution of HI and metal absorbers and the observed HI covering fractions around LBGs and QSOs at z ~ 2-3

Most strong HI and metal absorbers are associated with tiny galaxies

Predicted HI properties are weakly sensitive to model properties (at least in EAGLE simulations) once the feedback is relatively efficient (compared to no or negligible feedback)

Distribution of metals is more sensitive to feedback variations compared to HI

This dependency is largely related to the sensitivity of star formation rates to feedback: <u>the cosmic distribution of metals may not necessary provide us with</u> <u>addition constraints on feedback!</u>